

**CLEAN WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND  
LOAN PROGRAM**  
Intended Use Plan – Update #1

STATE OF OREGON  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

**FISCAL YEAR 2006**

Prepared by:

Clean Water State Revolving Fund Loan Program  
Water Quality Division  
Oregon Department of Environmental Quality  
811 S.W. Sixth Avenue  
Portland, Oregon 97204-1390

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
INTRODUCTION. ....	1
GOALS.....	1
LONG TERM PROGRAM GOALS .....	1
SHORT TERM PROGRAM GOALS .....	2
APPLICATIONS.....	2
PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS .....	2
TABLE OF PROJECT APPLICATIONS IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER .....	18
ELIGIBLE PROJECTS IN PRIORITY ORDER: PRIORITY LIST .....	23
PRIORITY RANKING CRITERIA.....	26
FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR LOANS .....	28
PROJECTED FUNDS AVAILABLE TO LOAN .....	29
CAPITALIZATION FUNDS.....	30
INVESTMENT EARNINGS .....	31
LOAN REPAYMENTS .....	31
ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE .....	32
LOAN ALLOCATIONS .....	32
Timely Use of Funds .....	34
ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW .....	35
OPERATING AGREEMENT .....	35
PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES.....	36
PUBLIC NOTICE .....	36
MAILING LISTS .....	37

## INTRODUCTION

This Intended Use Plan (IUP) is a document prepared for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and others interested in the DEQ Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) Loan Program, and describes how Oregon plans to use the Clean Water State Revolving Fund during the funding year 2006. This IUP will remain in effect until the IUP for 2007 is finalized. The Oregon Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) Loan Program continues to offer below market interest rate loans to public agencies for planning, design and construction of the following water pollution abatement projects:

- 1) wastewater collection, treatment, water reuse and disposal systems,
- 2) nonpoint source water pollution control projects, and
- 3) the development and implementation of management plans for federally designated estuaries.

All eligible projects that have submitted applications are included on the List of Eligible Projects in Priority Order ("Priority List"). However, no funds are committed or reserved for individual projects until all application requirements are met, indicating the project's readiness to proceed, and a loan agreement has been executed. Projects that are ready to proceed are funded in priority order.

It should be noted that most of the projects documented in this IUP were applied for and ranked utilizing the previous administrative rules, while some of these projects were ranked utilizing the new rules. All new program activities will be governed by the new administrative rules (OAR 340-054), which can be found on the State's web site, [www.oregon.gov](http://www.oregon.gov), or at the CWSRF program website: <http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/wqgrant/wqgrant.htm>

## Update To FY 2006 Intended Use Plan

This document is an update to the fiscal year 2006 IUP, and will be identified as Update Number One.

This update adds one project for which the program received an application since the previous IUP document was finalized. This new application was received from the City of Riddle and is included in the schedules of this updated document. This Update #1 will become effective upon the close of the public comment period, which is documented later in this IUP.

## Environmental Benefits of Projects

EPA now requires that all new CWSRF loans have a demonstrated environmental benefit. In order to document this benefit states must either 1) include specific output and outcome measures in the IUP, or 2) include a statement in the IUP that they will complete the "one-pager" for each project that enters into a binding commitment. DEQ chooses to complete the "one-pager" for each new project, and will complete this document upon execution of each new CWSRF loan. One-pager's will be held in each loan file, for inspection by EPA. One-pager information is entered to an on-line web-based database developed by EPA.

## GOALS

### LONG TERM PROGRAM GOALS

- Goal #1: To protect public health and the waters of the state by offering financial assistance for water pollution control projects.
- Goal #2: To provide financial support for water quality improvements to all waters of the State.
- Goal #3: To administer the Clean Water State Revolving Fund to ensure its financial integrity, viability and perpetuity as a source of financial assistance.

## SHORT TERM PROGRAM GOALS

- Goal #1: To continue to maintain the revolving nature of the Fund and to maintain an active pace of disbursements in conjunction with the receipt of new funds and loan repayments.
- Goal #2: To provide funding to local communities to the maximum extent possible within the constraints of sound financial management, law and regulation.
- Goal #3: To increase the number of loans for both non-point source and estuary management projects.
- Goal #4: To make the CWSRF loan program more accessible to a wider range of water quality projects statewide.
- Goal #5: To continue our participation with other state and federal programs in providing financial assistance to Oregon communities.

## APPLICATIONS

A letter soliciting applications for Clean Water State Revolving Fund loans was sent to all cities, service districts and sanitary districts during the winter of 2005. This letter was also sent to watershed councils, irrigation districts, National Estuary Program staff, and other groups concerned with nonpoint source pollution and estuary management. Public agencies were encouraged to return a completed application to DEQ for all eligible projects regardless of the project schedule or status.

Applications are now accepted by the CWSRF loan program at any time. The 2005 IUP was updated twice during fiscal year 2005, and all new applications incorporated into the Priority List. There are now five new applications received by the program for inclusion in the 2006 IUP. Those new projects can be identified in the lists below by the application number extension "-06".

The Priority List now includes 132 projects from 91 communities or districts for a total of \$339,856,956 in requested funding. Most of these projects were scored using the older scoring criteria, however several of them have been scored using the new criteria, which is explained later in this document.

Following is a brief description of each project, organized in alphabetical order. The newest applications are identified with a project number ending in "-06." Communities with populations of 5,000 or less are indicated as eligible for Small Community reserve funds.

**FISCAL YEAR 2006 PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS**

<b>10200-00</b>	<b>City of Adair Village (Benton County)</b>	<b>\$ 280,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community Inflow and infiltration correction to eliminate sewage bypasses during heavy rains. Discharges to the Willamette River, which is habitat for endangered fish.		
<b>10860-99</b>	<b>Alsea County Service District (Benton County)</b>	<b>\$ 150,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community Final design and construction of a community-wide storm water collection system. See Management Program, Section 4.5.		
<b>11431-03</b>	<b>Arch Cape Sanitary District (Clatsop County)</b>	<b>\$ 79,700</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community Design and construction of system to dechlorinate treated effluent. This will be needed to comply with conditions of new permit.		
<b>11433-03</b>	<b>Arch Cape Sanitary District (Clatsop County)</b>	<b>\$ 3,344,500</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community Per the outcome of the Facilities Plan, this project would include a phased design and construction of wastewater treatment plant improvements, including new headworks; new plant #2 and yard piping and controls; rehabilitation of plant #1; effluent filtration and disinfection; sludge treatment and drying; computers and controls; and pump station rehabilitation.		
<b>1179-97</b>	<b>City of Astoria (Clatsop County)</b>	<b>\$16,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project Combined sewer overflow control including system optimization, storage separation and treatment.		
<b>11850-05</b>	<b>City of Athena(Umatilla County)</b>	<b>\$ 990,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community Wastewater collection system inflow and infiltration reduction throughout the city.		
<b>1270A-02</b>	<b>City of Baker City (Baker County)</b>	<b>\$ 80,000</b>
Sec. 212 Facilities Plan Completion of facilities plan for modifying treatment facilities including lagoon sludge digestion or removal, headworks rehabilitation, sewage grinder, new electrical building, and land for reclaimed water irrigation.		
<b>1270B-02</b>	<b>City of Baker City (Baker County)</b>	<b>\$ 2,322,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project Modification of wastewater treatment facilities including lagoon sludge digestion or removal, headworks rehabilitation, sewage grinder, new electrical building, and land for reclaimed water irrigation.		
<b>14002-02</b>	<b>Rogue Valley Sewer Services (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$ 7,500,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project Rehabilitation of interceptor and trunk mains, and construction of relief interceptor sewer lines. There is insufficient capacity for projected peak flows.		

- 18570-04 City of Brownsville (Linn County) \$7,015,000**  
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community  
The City must complete improvements to its wastewater treatment system and collection system, under an MAO with DEQ. This will include design and construction of improvements to the north and south wastewater treatment plants, a new force main from the north plant to the south plant, an additional lagoon, and inflow and infiltration improvements.
- 19150-00 Bunker Hill Sanitary District (Coos Bay Area, Coos County) \$ 385,000**  
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community  
Provide sanitary sewers and a sewage lift station for the Sleepy Hollow area to address contamination from failing septic tanks and drainfields. Protective of endangered oyster beds in the tidelands, and the mid and lower bay. See Management Program, Section 3.26.
- 19152-03 Bunker Hill Sanitary District (Coos County) \$ 817,000**  
Sec. 212 Construction Project; Small Community  
Construction of sewer for the area west of Highway 101 and south of Dover Street in Bunker Hill, as designed in above project #19151-03.
- 19153-03 Bunker Hill Sanitary District (Coos County) \$ 667,000**  
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community  
Design and construction of sewer lines and pump station in unserved area known as the Boise Addition in Bunker Hill. This is an area of suspected failing septic systems.
- 19154-04 Bunker Hill Sanitary District (Coos County) \$ 329,200**  
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community  
Replace existing 12-inch pipeline crossing Coalbank Slough with 14-inch pipe. This pipe delivers all sewage from the Bunker Hill Sanitary District as well as from the east side of the City of Coos Bay to the Coos Bay Treatment Plant #1.
- 20591-02 City of Canyonville (Douglas County) \$ 8,500,000**  
Sec. 212 design and construction project; Small Community  
Treatment system, screening transfer pump station, conveyance, treatment pond, storage and wetlands, disinfection, irrigation, alum addition, filtration, and outfall.
- 2191A-97 Charleston Sanitary District (Coos County) \$ 4,000,000**  
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community  
Design and construct wastewater treatment facility for the Charleston Sanitary District.
- 2203B-97 Chemult Sanitary District (Klamath County) \$ 2,300,000**  
Sec. 212 Planning, Design and Construction; Small Community  
Construct a sewage treatment facility which, if constructed, will eliminate the current drinking water quality problems from contamination of the aquifer by the current substandard septic systems.
- 22651-03 City of Clatskanie (Columbia County) \$ 70,000**  
Sec. 212 Facilities Planning Project; Small Community  
Development of a facilities plan to evaluate all aspects of the City's wastewater collection and treatment system, and to plan for the systematic development of facility improvements to accommodate current and future system needs.

<b>22652-03</b>	<b>City of Clatskanie (Columbia County)</b>	<b>\$ 735,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community Improvements to the wastewater treatment facility to comply with the City's MAO and DEQ's conditions of approval. Project includes installation of UV disinfection to eliminate the use of chlorine, upgrading influent pump station capacities, and providing adequate stand-by power capabilities.		
<b>24190-01</b>	<b>City of Coos Bay (Coos County)</b>	<b>\$ 36,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design Engineering drawings and specifications for Pumping Station Number 3, which was last rebuilt in 1975.		
<b>24191-01</b>	<b>City of Coos Bay (Coos County)</b>	<b>\$ 52,000</b>
Sec. 212 Construction 500 linear feet of 10 inch diameter sanitary sewer line in Blossom Gulch area, an area of high I & I with disintegrating pipe.		
<b>24192-01</b>	<b>City of Coos Bay (Coos County)</b>	<b>\$170,000</b>
Sec. 212 Construction Construction of Pump Station Number 7, last rebuilt in 1983.		
<b>24193-01</b>	<b>City of Coos Bay (Coos County)</b>	<b>\$ 62,000</b>
Sec. 212 Construction 620 linear feet of 10 inch diameter sanitary sewer line on Walnut Avenue between 8 <sup>th</sup> and 10 <sup>th</sup> streets. This line has high I & I, cracked sections, settlement and failed previous lining.		
<b>24194-04</b>	<b>City of Coos Bay (Coos County)</b>	<b>\$ 5,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project Upcoming changes in the City's NPDES permit will require new components to the existing systems. These upgrade requirements are not yet known, but it is certain State Revolving Fund monies will be needed to design and implement the changes.		
<b>24200-01</b>	<b>Coos County (Coos County)</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>
Sec. 319 Design and Construction; Small Community Establishment of a sub-revolving fund through the County which would allow individuals to make needed repairs/replacements of failing on-site systems. See Management Program, Section 3.26 (Coos Bay shellfish areas). See Management Program, Section 3.25 (Tenmile Lakes).		
<b>24292-01</b>	<b>City of Coquille (Coos County)</b>	<b>\$ 7,500,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community Design and construction of a new wastewater treatment facility to meet waste load allocations and total daily mass load limits for the Coquille River.		
<b>24681-03</b>	<b>City of Cove (Union County)</b>	<b>\$ 1,370,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community Construct a new 4.3 million gallon storage lagoon cell, purchase a 30 acre effluent irrigation area, install new irrigation equipment, and make collection system improvements targeted at infiltration and inflow sources.		
<b>24685-01</b>	<b>Cove Orchard Sewer Service District (Yamhill County)</b>	<b>\$ 35,000</b>



Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community  
Add additional manholes to assist with I and I control.

**25130-00 Crescent Sanitary District (Klamath County) \$ 2,500,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
Design and construct gravity collection system, treatment and storage lagoons, and effluent irrigation facilities; to address failing septic systems and high nitrates in the groundwater.

**25140-04 City of Creswell (Lane County) \$ 6,100,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community  
Phase 1 upgrade to the City's wastewater treatment plant, including new influent sewer, influent pump station, disinfection system, effluent pump station, reclaimed water pipeline, and effluent reuse site development.

**27611-04 Deschutes Soil and Water Conservation District (Deschutes Co) \$2,000,000**

Sec. 319 Non-Point Source Project  
Establishment of a regional clean water revolving fund for the Deschutes Basin, to provide timely temporary financing for water conservation projects that address stream flow restoration and reduce non-point source pollution in the Basin. The fund could also be used to provide long-term bridge funding for landowners and agencies that require additional funds above allocated grant funds to complete or improve conservation projects. See Management Program, page 2-19 and table 2-21.

**27850-03 Dexter Sanitary District (Lane County) \$ 1,000,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
Inflow and infiltration analysis, engineering and remediation; filter expansion report, construction.

**29200-02 City of Drain (Douglas County) \$ 90,000**

Sec. 212 Facilities Plan: Small Community  
Updated wastewater systems master plan – the previous plan (from 1995/1996) was rejected by DEQ.

**29200-04 City of Drain (Douglas County) \$ 207,263**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Projects; Small Community  
Wastewater Headworks project, consisting of the following components: Influent pumping, influent screening, grit removal, influent sampling, and flow metering. In addition, inflow and infiltration must be reduced throughout the collection system.

<b>29650-04</b>	<b>City of Dundee (Yamhill County)</b>	<b>\$ 5,300,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community Wastewater facility improvements, including upgrading/repairing the influent pump station, headworks, secondary treatment process, disinfection system, effluent discharge piping, summer irrigation system, decommissioning of existing wastewater treatment lagoons, and inflow and infiltration mitigation work.		
<b>31450-03</b>	<b>City of Enterprise (Wallowa County)</b>	<b>\$ 120,000</b>
Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community Wastewater collection system study to evaluate and identify areas of inflow and infiltration in the collection system piping and present recommendations for corrective action.		
<b>32140-03</b>	<b>City of Falls City (Polk County)</b>	<b>\$1,583,120</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community Design and construction of sewer system improvements.		
<b>35540-00</b>	<b>Gardiner Sanitary District (Douglas County)</b>	<b>\$ 21,975</b>
Sec. 212 Facilities Planning Project; Small Community Wastewater collection system cleaning and television inspection for inflow and infiltration identification. Effluent goes to the Umpqua River through Reedsport's treatment plant.		
<b>35611-00</b>	<b>City of Garibaldi (Tillamook County)</b>	<b>\$ 3,750,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community Replacement of aging system with new treatment plant, new influent pumping facilities and an extension of the outfall line to protect Tillamook Bay, a National Estuary. See Management Program, Section 3.26		
<b>37451-03</b>	<b>City of Glendale (Douglas County)</b>	<b>\$ 100,000</b>
Sec. 212 Facilities Planning; Small Community Facilities plan for improvements and upgrades to the City wastewater treatment and collection systems.		
<b>37452-03</b>	<b>City of Glendale (Douglas County)</b>	<b>\$ 400,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community Design and construction of improvements and upgrade to the City wastewater treatment and collection systems, per above facilities plan.		
<b>37840-05</b>	<b>City of Gold Hill (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$1,158,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community Design and construct wastewater system improvements identified as the preferred options in the recently completed wastewater facility plan.		
<b>38351-01</b>	<b>Government Camp Sanitary District (Clackamas County)</b>	<b>\$ 25,000</b>
Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community Rate study to set new District fees and charges to ensure coverage of O,M, R and debt service. This is a continuation of work on the existing Facilities Plan for the new treatment system design and construction work currently financed with CWSRF funds.		
<b>40352-01</b>	<b>City of Haines (Baker County)</b>	<b>\$ 750,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community		

Construction of appropriate alternative as determined by facilities plan to prevent groundwater contamination and surface water runoff from the reclaimed water irrigation site.

- 40670-04 City of Halsey (Linn County) \$ 200,000**  
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
Work to determine source of inflow and infiltration problems, and develop specifics and costs to correct those problems. Design a plan to upgrade lift station, including the installation of new pumps and the addition of a backup generator. Design and construct a de-chlorination facility at the sewage treatment plant.
- 41901-99 City of Harrisburg (Linn County) \$ 4,400,000**  
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
I & I correction work and modifications to raw sewage pump station and lagoon treatment facility.
- 47571-03 City of Imbler (Union County) \$ 500,000**  
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
Design and construction of a municipal wastewater collection, treatment, and disposal/reuse system to replace existing on-site septic tank/drainfield systems.
- 47690-03 City of Lone (Morrow County) \$ 40,000**  
Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community  
The City does not have a wastewater collection and treatment system. The City desires to complete a wastewater facilities plan to provide the basis for eventual construction of a wastewater system to eliminate septic drain fields.
- 49260-03 City of John Day (Grant County) \$ 1,600,000**  
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
Design and construction of an extension of the sewage collection system to serve residential and industrial areas on the west side of the City, presently served by on-site systems.
- 52601-04 City of Klamath Falls (Klamath County) \$ 1,655,400**  
Sec. 212 Design and Construction  
Extend collection system to the Henley School, eliminating the need for on-site system.
- 5260A-99 Klamath Tribes (Klamath County) \$ 50,000**  
Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community  
Facilities plan for a wastewater treatment facility. Currently, sewage is shipped by truck to a facility located about an hour away.
- 5260B-99 Klamath Tribes (Klamath County) \$ 1,260,000**  
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
Design and construction of a sewage treatment facility, to avoid the need to store and ship sewage to another location for treatment.
- 54250-06 City of Lafayette (Yamhill County) \$ 500,000**  
Sec. 212 Construction Project; Small Community  
Installation of new sanitary sewer pipe and manholes, and construction of a new wastewater treatment facility.

<b>55081-04</b>	<b>La Pine Special Sewer District (Deschutes County)</b>	<b>\$ 350,000</b>
Sec. 212	Design and Construction; Small Community Complete design in accordance with DEQ approved facilities plan. Construction of expanded wastewater treatment facilities.	
<b>5620A-00</b>	<b>City of Lebanon (Linn County)</b>	<b>\$ 1,384,000</b>
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Project Install a fourth clarifier at the wastewater treatment plant to reduce suspended solids and provide adequate capacity during wet weather storm events.	
<b>5620B-00</b>	<b>City of Lebanon (Linn County)</b>	<b>\$ 311,000</b>
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Project Downtown Sewer Separation (Phase II): disconnect storm inlets and roof drains from the sanitary sewer. Replace the sanitary main and construct a new storm main. This project is to eliminate secondary treatment bypasses during storms.	
<b>5620C-00</b>	<b>City of Lebanon (Linn County)</b>	<b>\$ 216,320</b>
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Project Construct outfall diffuser to create improved mixing at the South Santiam River discharge point and to meet new NPDES permit requirements for chlorine and temperature.	
<b>56200-06</b>	<b>City of Lebanon (Linn County)</b>	<b>\$ 3,442,000</b>
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Project The City wishes to change its wastewater effluent discharge from the South Santiam River to a land distribution and infiltration system.	
<b>56201-06</b>	<b>City of Lebanon (Linn County)</b>	<b>\$ 3,232,000</b>
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Project The City wishes to add an additional step to its biosolids handling process. After the biosolids are digested in the aerobic digesters, they will be run through either a belt filter press or a centrifuge and conveyed to a storage facility until weather conditions allow field application.	
<b>5751B-01</b>	<b>City of Lincoln City (Lincoln County)</b>	<b>\$ 19,129,000</b>
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Interim plant improvements (WAS thickening, disinfection control improvements, septage/vector receiving station); pump station improvements to minimize potential for SSO's; improvements for I&I reduction and sewerage of areas within UGB currently on septic tanks; design and construction of a wastewater treatment plant.	
<b>59220-04</b>	<b>City of Lowell (Lane County)</b>	<b>\$ 88,000</b>
Sec. 212	Design and Construction; Small Community Re-install 900 linear feet of 8-inch sanitary sewer main on Pioneer Street, making the line deeper, so as to avoid damage from a planned road improvement project by the county.	
<b>6299A-99</b>	<b>Marion County Public Works (Marion County)</b>	<b>\$ 50,000</b>
Sec. 319	Facilities Plan Facilities plan for development of a water quality enhancement system consisting of grassy swales, poplar trees and constructed wetlands. See Management Program, Section 4.2.19.	
<b>6299B-99</b>	<b>Marion County Public Works (Marion County)</b>	<b>\$ 2,100,000</b>

## Sec. 319 Design and Construction

Design and construction of water quality enhancement system, including grassy swales, poplar trees and constructed wetlands. See Management Program, Section 4.2.19.

**6412A-97 City of Medford (Jackson County) \$ 400,000**

## Sec. 212 Facilities Plan

Facilities plan for a water reuse project, titled the Water Reclamation Project.

**6412B-97 City of Medford (Jackson County) \$ 21,600,000**

## Sec. 212 Design and Construction

Water Reclamation Project – a water reuse project, intended to reduce discharge of waste water during summer months when water flows are at their lowest and water temperature is at its highest. This project would build storage lagoons, pump stations, secondary clarifiers, grit tanks, two new primary clarifiers, and improve and expand other existing facilities such as the chlorine contact basin.

**6484A-97 City of Metolius (Jefferson County) \$ 50,000**

## Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community

Facilities plan for a wastewater enhancement project to increase capacity.

**6484B-97 City of Metolius (Jefferson County) \$ 1,450,000**

## Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community

Design and construction of a wastewater enhancement project, to increase capacity. System is currently operating at 400,000 gallons over available capacity.

**64840-99 Metropolitan Wastewater Management Commission (Lane County) \$ 3,000,000**

## Sec. 212 Construction

Acquisition of property for a dedicated biosolids land application site.

**64840-06 Metropolitan Wastewater Management Commission (Lane County) \$30,000,000**

## Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project

This application is for several projects, to be completed through the year 2010, to meet all planning criteria used to determine the capacity, performance and treatment plant technologies necessary to meet the areas needs through the year 2025.

**6523B-00 Miles Crossing/Jeffer's Gardens (Astoria Area, Clatsop County) \$ 3,068,191**

## Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community

Develop a community wastewater collection, treatment and disposal system to address failing septic tanks and contamination of Young's River, which supports endangered fish.

**65580-99 City of Milwaukie (Clackamas County) \$ 7,600,000**

## Sec. 212 Design and Construction

Extension of sanitary sewer collection facilities including force main and lift stations in the unsewered areas east of City of Milwaukie.

**66100-06 City of Molalla (Clackamas County) \$ 2,670,000**

## Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project

Design and construction of wastewater improvements, including upgrades to provide redundancy and additional capacity for the existing advanced treatment process.

- 66270-04 City of Monroe (Benton County) \$ 5,000,000**  
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
Expansion of the current wastewater treatment lagoon system as well as address the following: Elimination of overflows; upgrades to the lift station; installation of flow meter and composite samplers; other structures and evaluation components to improve the system.
- 66790-00 City of Moro (Sherman County) \$ 20,000**  
Sec. 212 Facilities Planning Project; Small Community  
Evaluate condition and propose a plan to upgrade the city's non-discharging wastewater lagoon.
- 66791-03 City of Moro (Sherman County) \$ 1,000,000**  
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
Expansion of wastewater treatment and storage lagoons, expansion of effluent irrigation area, and collection system improvements targeting infiltration and inflow sources.
- 67981-02 City of Myrtle Point (Coos County) \$ 1,500,000**  
Sec. 319 Non-Point Source project; Small Community  
Regional manure fired biogas facility for dairy waste. This will process dairy cattle manure into methane, solids and liquid urea fertilizer, all of which can be marketed. This facility would help keep waste from approximately 2500 – 3500 dairy animals from discharging to the Coquille River. See Management Program, Section 2.2.7.
- 68651-01 Neskowin Regional Sanitary Authority (Tillamook County) \$ 88,200**  
Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community  
Connect 18 septic tank systems to main line at Hawk Creek Hills, per mandatory 200-foot hookup ordinance. This project does not qualify for CWSRF funding under current Oregon rules, however the DEQ is exploring program changes that would allow financial assistance to such projects in the future.
- 68652-01 Neskowin Regional Sanitary Authority (Tillamook County) \$ 294,750**  
Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community  
Main line extension on Los Angeles Street (approximately one mile).
- 68653-02 Neskowin Regional Sanitary Authority (Tillamook County) \$ 50,000**  
Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community  
Engineering analysis of plant deficiencies and sewer line extensions.
- 68681-03 Netarts Oceanside Sanitary Dist. (Tillamook County) \$ 10,000,000**  
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
Design and construction of treatment system reconstruction and upgrades, per the above facilities plan.
- 6998-97 City of Nyssa (Malheur County) \$1,060,000**  
Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community

Improvements to wastewater treatment facilities. There have been numerous violations of the NPDES permit. Improvements may include modification of the existing aeration basin, improvement of the headworks and lift stations, construction of a new secondary clarifier and construction of a new chlorine contact basin, or construction of new facilities.

- 7003A-97 City of Oakridge (Lane County) \$ 70,000**  
Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community  
Comprehensive drainage basin study and storm drain recommendation. See Management Program, Section 4.5.
- 7003B-97 City of Oakridge (Lane County) \$ 120,000**  
Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community  
Insitu PVC liner for deteriorating sewer lines, to relieve seasonal over taxing of sewer treatment plant.
- 70140-05 Odell Sanitary District (Hood River County) \$ 3,500,000**  
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
Final design of, and construction of wastewater facility upgrade and relocation of discharge. Upgrade would include clarification, aeration basin, headworks and office/lab building.
- 70613-03 City of Ontario (Malheur County) \$ 1,519,500**  
Sec. 212 Design and Construction  
Design phase one and two of the regional sanitary sewer lift station and connecting main; construction of phase one of regional lift station; design and construction replacement of "Murakami" lift station; design and construction of inflow and infiltration projects.
- 73270-99 City of Philomath (Benton County) \$ 380,000**  
Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community  
Correction of infiltration and inflow. Lagoons are approaching capacity during summer storage. I & I work is necessary to extend the hydrologic life of the lagoons.
- 74170-03 City of Port Orford (Curry County) \$ 1,000,000**  
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
New ocean outfall and diffuser, miscellaneous wastewater treatment plant improvements to provide redundancy and improve hydraulic performance of plant; collection system rehabilitation for infiltration and inflow reduction.
- 74164-04 City of Portland (Multnomah County) \$ 7,246,000**  
Sec. 319 Non-Point Source Project  
The Alsop-Brownwood Project will relocate and rehabilitate a previously channelized portion of Johnson Creek, lower the adjacent floodplain surfaces to reconnect the creek with its floodplain, and create new wetlands on the adjacent floodplain surfaces. See Management Program, Section 3.3.6.
- 74300-04 Powder Valley Water Control District (Union County) \$ 150,000**  
Sec. 319 Non-Point Source Project; Small Community  
Install 4,000 feet of pipe to carry irrigation water, thereby diverting water from the existing irrigation ditch, reducing soil erosion and improving irrigation water quantity. See Management Program, Section 4.2.3.
- 75261-03 City of Rainier (Columbia County) \$ 7,215,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
Replace existing wastewater treatment plant to meet DEQ Mutual Agreement and Order.

**77400-06 City of Riddle (Douglas County) \$ 810,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
Interim loan for replacement of approximately 8,000 linear feet of sewer line including manholes and service laterals to the property lines.

**77740-00 City of Rivergrove (Clackamas County) \$ 1,000,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community  
Construct sewage collection system to address failing septic systems and to protect the groundwater in a designated wellhead protection area (pending). See Management Program, Section 3.22.

**80161-04 City of St. Helens (Columbia County) \$ 345,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction  
Relocate an existing pump station to a better location that would allow it to serve an area with known failed and failing individual on-site septic systems.

**80162-04 City of St. Helens (Columbia County) \$ 1,640,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction  
Required upgrade to two existing pump stations and construction of a connecting pipeline to provide service to areas with a history of septic system failures.

**80163-04 City of St. Helens (Columbia County) \$ 1,200,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction  
Upsize approximately 3,000 linear feet of sanitary sewer pipeline to provide needed additional capacity for areas that are experiencing individual on-site septic system failures.

**80164-04 City of St. Helens (Columbia County) \$ 1,480,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction  
Construction of a new pump station and pipeline to provide service to an area that is experiencing individual on-site septic system failures.

**80165-04 City of St. Helens (Columbia County) \$2,000,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction  
Repair, replace and/or rehabilitate portions of the existing sanitary sewer system that have demonstrated excessive inflow and infiltration and to design and construct storm sewer facilities in these same areas.

**80211-04 City of Salem (Marion County) \$ 6,000,000**

Sec. 212 Design  
The name of the project is Peak Excess Flow Treatment Facility – Phase I Design. It includes the design development and final design for a Peak Excess Flow Treatment Facility located off-site from the WWTP. The facility is proposed to be a physical/chemical, high rate clarification process located in a city park.

**80212-05 City of Salem (Marion County) \$ 10,950,000**

Sec. 212 Design  
Preliminary and final design services for a new headworks facility, primary clarifiers and gravity thickeners at the Willow Lake WWTP.



<b>8093A-99</b>	<b>City of Scappoose (Columbia County)</b>	<b>\$ 2,630,000</b>
Sec. 212	Design and Construction; Small Community Hydraulic capacity upgrade, including North interceptor, influent pump station, effluent pressure main, and Smith Road pump station.	
<b>8093B-99</b>	<b>City of Scappoose (Columbia County)</b>	<b>\$ 340,000</b>
Sec. 212	Construction; Small Community Purchase land for land application of treated sludge.	
<b>82920-00</b>	<b>City of Seneca (Grant County)</b>	<b>\$ 112,500</b>
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Project; Small Community Reduce inflow and infiltration problems in a non-discharging lagoon system.	
<b>83120-04</b>	<b>City of Shady Cove (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$ 2,225,000</b>
Sec. 212	Construction; Small Community Construct improvements to the wastewater treatment facilities to remedy structural deficiencies and reduce infiltration/inflow and increase undersized treatment plant facilities to serve existing and future growth, increase reliability, and to comply with new regulatory standards pertaining to water quality.	
<b>83811-04</b>	<b>City of Sheridan (Yamhill County)</b>	<b>\$7,194,000</b>
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Design and construction of wastewater facility improvements, including various collection system repairs and replacements, upgrading WWTP influent sewage lift station, preliminary screening and influent monitoring/sampling, primary/secondary treatment upgrades, effluent filtration system, disinfection modifications, office/lab improvements, and acquisition and development of reclaimed water disposal sites.	
<b>86772-01</b>	<b>City of Springfield (Lane County)</b>	<b>\$ 3,750,000</b>
Sec. 212	Construction Sewer replacement and rehabilitation and I & I correction in several areas of the system, to be completed over the next 5 years.	
<b>8716B-97</b>	<b>City of Stanfield (Umatilla County)</b>	<b>\$ 2,000,000</b>
Sec. 212	Design and Construction; Small Community Wastewater system improvements, including treatment plant and water reuse facility improvements. The treatment plant has been exceeding its NPDES permit limitation for effluent BOD.	
<b>89550-99</b>	<b>City of Sutherlin (Douglas County)</b>	<b>\$ 400,000</b>
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Install fine bubble diffusers and new air piping and blowers. Replace mechanical mixers and coarse bubble diffusers.	
<b>89751-04</b>	<b>City of Sweet Home (Linn County)</b>	<b>\$ 3,000,000</b>
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Project Wastewater system improvements, including an upgraded or new influent pumping station, new headworks with screening and grit removal, modifications to existing secondary clarifiers and RAS pumping, filter rehabilitation, computer control system replacement, and minor modifications to the operations building.	

- 9080B-97 City of The Dalles (Wasco County) \$ 2,300,000**  
Sec. 212 Planning, Design and Construction  
The existing wastewater treatment plant is reaching treatment capacity necessitating system expansion.
- 91570-03 Tillamook County Community Development Dept. \$ 413,000**  
Sec. 319 Construction  
Create a loan fund that the County can make available to local homeowners, to repair failing on-site wastewater disposal systems. Loan funds would be made available if the homeowner demonstrates a financial need for this assistance. The program would be administered by Tillamook County. See Management Program, Section 5.3.3 #17.
- 9156C-99 Tillamook County SWCD (Tillamook County) \$ 25,000**  
Sec. 319 and Sec. 320 Construction  
Purchase inventory of silt control fence and related erosion control and silt control products. See Management Program, Sections 3.26 & 4.2 and Section 5.3.3 #20 This project also ties into objectives of the Tillamook Bay estuary program to improve fish habitat.
- 9156D-99 Tillamook County SWCD (Tillamook County) \$ 400,000**  
Sec. 319 and Sec. 320 Construction  
Create a loan fund available for nine drainage districts in Tillamook County which will allow districts to make needed repairs and improvements. Projects could include drainage ditch cleaning, tide gate repair and replacement, or levee and dike maintenance. See Management Program, Sections 3.26 & 4.2 and Section 5.3.3 #20 This project also ties into objectives of the Tillamook Bay estuary program to improve fish habitat It will result in higher levels of dissolved oxygen and lower temperatures, both of which benefit threatened or endangered species of fish. Improvement of fish habitat is an objective of the Tillamook Bay estuary program.
- 9156E-99 Tillamook County SWCD (Tillamook County) \$ 421,587**  
Sec. 319 and Sec. 320 Design and Construction  
Install fish friendly tide gates in various sloughs along the Trask, Tillamook, Wilson and Kilchis rivers. Sloughs are experiencing stagnation and pollution with Coliform bacteria including E. coli. See Management Program, Sections 3.26 & 4.2 and Section 5.3.3 #20 This project is one of two from Tillamook County that will enhance habitat rather than keeping pollution laden flows out of sensitive estuaries. Improved fishery habitat for Coho and Steelhead is a major goal of the Tillamook Estuary program.
- 9156F-99 Tillamook County SWCD (Tillamook County) \$ 1,500,000**  
Sec. 319 and Sec. 320 Construction

Create a loan fund for agricultural producers in 3-county basin which will allow producers to expedite implementation of NRCS-developed Resource Management Plans on their operations. Examples could include manure storage facilities, related roofing, guttering and downspouting for excluding rainwater from waste management systems. See Management Program, Sections 3.26 & 4.2.. This project will implement National Resource and conservation Service developed resource management Plans for dairy farms. Implementation of best management practices for dairy farmers will reduce sediment from bank erosion and reduce overland flows from nutrient and bacteria rich cow manure into Tillamook Bay which is water quality limited for bacteria.

<b>91563-01</b>	<b>City of Tillamook (Tillamook County)</b>	<b>\$ 300,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community		
Extend 5,150 feet of sewer east on Third Street and North on Marolf Loop, to provide an area of failing septic systems with public sewer service.		
<b>91565-03</b>	<b>City of Tillamook (Tillamook County)</b>	<b>\$ 5,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community		
Replace existing RBC's and add new biological treatment system; construct a new anaerobic digester; add sludge storage and/or acquire land for treatment or biosolids reuse; correct problems in the collection system including infiltration and inflow, and upgrade disinfection or make other improvements to meet TMDL's.		
<b>93641-03</b>	<b>City of Vernonia (Columbia County)</b>	<b>\$ 7,176,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community		
Design and construction of an extended air activated sludge treatment plant at the existing treatment plant site, including means for land disposal of effluent during summer months. Project may also include gravity sewer improvements, rehabilitation of two collection system pump stations and construction of one new pump station.		
<b>9458-97</b>	<b>City of Wallowa (Wallowa County)</b>	<b>\$ 300,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community		
Wastewater system improvements to improve inadequately treated wastewater being discharged to the Wallowa River.		
<b>94961-00</b>	<b>City of Wasco (Sherman County)</b>	<b>\$ 1,500,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community		
Design and construct improvements to the seepage lagoon system in order to address permit noncompliance issues.		
<b>9551B-99</b>	<b>Wedderburn Sanitary District (Curry County)</b>	<b>\$ 50,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community		
Replace inadequate flowmeters to improve plant capacity and alleviate coastal erosion.		
<b>95510-00</b>	<b>Wedderburn Sanitary District (Curry County)</b>	<b>\$ 810,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community		
Reroute storm drain; construct anti-erosion seawall and other wastewater system improvements. See Management Program, Sections 3.26, 4.2, and 4.5.		
<b>95511-01</b>	<b>Wedderburn Sanitary District (Curry County)</b>	<b>\$ 75,000</b>
Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community		

Repairs to correct I & I in approximately 3 miles of sewer lines; modernization of chlorination facility.

**95512-03 Wedderburn Sanitary District (Curry County) \$ 786,500**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
Infiltration and inflow corrections in the Wedderburn and Knoxtown Sanitary Districts' collection systems. Replacement of the two primary pump stations with submersible stations and incorporation of alarm systems.

**96220-00 City of Westfir (Lane County) \$ 200,000**

Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community  
Replacement of sewerage facilities, addressing compliance issues. Construction of new gravity collection system and package treatment plant in Hemlock area.

**9623B-97 City of Weston (Umatilla County) \$ 500,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
Work on digester, headworks, trickling filter, primary clarifier and collection system which serves the entire city of Weston plus domestic sewage for two industrial plants.

**96240-05 Westport Sewer Service District (Clatsop County) \$ 281,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
Modification of the existing effluent pump station, installation of dual UV disinfection units, an effluent flow meter and a composite sampler.

**97640-04 Winchester Bay Sanitary District (Douglas County) \$ 5,700,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
Replacement and repair of the existing treatment facility and of the pumping stations that serve the Winchester Bay Sanitary District to meet sanitary regulations.

**98520-99 City of Wood Village (Multnomah County) \$ 1,500,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
Wood Village Sandy Blvd. sewer interceptor line, from N.E. 223<sup>rd</sup> Avenue to the Gresham treatment plant (approximately 3.0 MGD and a length of 6800 L.F.).

**98521-04 City of Wood Village (Multnomah County) \$ 3,500,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
Sewer upgrades and inflow and infiltration reduction – the project consists of upgrading approximately 9,000 linear feet of existing sewer pipe to increase system capacity and rehabilitating 2,000 linear feet of existing pipe, grouting pipe joints, grouting lateral connections, sealing manholes and rehabilitating or replacing laterals to reduce inflow and infiltration.

**99100-04 City of Yachats (Lincoln County) \$ 4,700,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
The proposed project is an upgrade of the existing Yachats wastewater treatment plant. The upgrade will increase the capacity of the plant so that it can meet the water quality limits of the City's NPDES permit. As part of the project three pump stations will be replaced, and there will be approximately 1,700 linear feet of collection system upgraded to increase capacity.

**99340-99 City of Yoncalla (Douglas County) \$ 358,250**

## Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community

Correction of wastewater collection system to reduce inflow and infiltration, which will include line rerouting/replacement/relining. There have been permit violations.

**99341-04 City of Yoncalla (Douglas County)****\$ 490,000**

## Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community

Correction to sewer collection system based on results of final design by engineers (currently ongoing). Construction will involve replacement and repair.

**TABLE OF PROJECT APPLICATIONS IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER**

The following table consists of all projects on the CWSRF project priority list. This includes the new projects described above plus the projects carried over from the previous project priority list. Projects identified on the FY 2005 Project Priority List which have been funded or have asked to be removed, have been deleted. The Project Priority List is in alphabetical order by applicant, and includes the following additional information requested by EPA: (1) amount requested, (2) EPA needs category, (3) discharge limits for those with existing permits (or the permit number), (4) the preliminary project schedule, and (5) the Project Priority Ranking.

Priority Ranking	Applicant Project No.	Request	EPA Needs Category	Discharge Limits (BOD/TSS 30 Day)		Project Schedule		
				Summer	Winter	Sign Agmt.	Start	Complete
79	City of Adair Village 10200-00	\$280,000	IIIA	No Disch.	30/45	3/2006	3/2006	7/2007
57	Alsea County Service Dist. 10860-99	\$150,000	IIIA,VI	No Disch.	No Disch.	6/2006	6/2006	10/2006
82	Arch Cape Sanitary District 11431-03	\$79,700	I	20/20	20/20	3/2006	3/2006	9/2006
96	Arch Cape Sanitary District 11433-03	\$3,344,500	I,II,IIIA, IIIB	20/20	20/20	3/2006	3/2006	10/2009
20	City of Astoria 1179-97	\$16,000,000	V	30/50	30/50	11/2005	11/2005	12/2010
129	City of Athena 11850-05	\$990,000	IIIA	Permit #100782		11/2005	2/2006	9/2006
19	City of Baker City 1270A-02	\$80,000	I,II,IVB, 319	45/85	45/85	4/2006	4/2006	12/2006
45	City of Baker City 1270B-02	\$2,322,000	I,II,IVB, 319	45/85	45/85	4/2006	4/2006	12/2007
59	Rogue Valley Sewer Services 14002-02	\$7,500,000	IIIB,IVB	10/10	30/30	3/2006	07/2006	11/2007
7	City of Brownsville 18570-04	\$7,015,000	I,IIIA,IIIB	No Disch.	30/50	3/2006	4/2006	12/2008
122	Bunker Hill Sanitary District 19150-00	\$385,000	IVA,IVB	10/10	30/30	4/2006	5/2006	12/2006
98	Bunker Hill Sanitary District 19152-03	\$817,000	IVA,IVB	20/30	30/45	3/2006	7/2006	6/2007
86	Bunker Hill Sanitary District 19153-03	\$667,000	IVA,IVB	20/30	30/45	12/2005	3/2006	6/2007

## CLEAN WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND

## INTENDED USE PLAN

Priority Ranking	Applicant Project No.	Request	EPA Needs Category	Discharge Limits (BOD/TSS 30 Day)		Project Schedule		
				Summer	Winter	Sign Agmt.	Start	Complete
77	Bunker Hill Sanitary District 19154-04	\$329,200	IIIB	No Disch.	No Disch.	11/2005	12/2005	4/2006
2	City of Canyonville 20591-02	\$8,500,000	I	10/10	30/30	01/2006	06/2006	06/2007
104	Charleston Sanitary District 2191A-97	\$4,000,000	I	20/20	30/30	12/2005	12/2005	12/2007
83	Chemult Sanitary District 2203B-97	\$2,300,000	I,II,IVA	No Disch.	No Disch.	3/2006	3/2006	12/2007
76	City of Clatskanie 22651-03	\$70,000	I	20/20	30/30	3/2006	7/2006	10/2006
26	City of Clatskanie 22652-03	\$735,000	I,IVB	20/20	30/30	3/2006	4/2006	1/2007
107	City of Coos Bay 24190-01	\$36,000	IVB	30/30	20/20	3/2006	7/2006	10/2006
108	City of Coos Bay 24191-01	\$52,000	IVA	30/30	20/20	3/2006	7/2006	10/2006
105	City of Coos Bay 24192-01	\$170,000	IVB	30/30	20/20	3/2006	7/2006	10/2006
108	City of Coos Bay 24193-01	\$62,000	IVA	30/30	20/20	3/2006	7/2006	10/2006
17	City of Coos Bay 24194-04	\$5,000,000	I	20/20	30/30	3/2006	4/2006	10/2007
24	Coos County 24200-01	\$1,000,000	319	No Disch.	No Disch.	7/2005	7/2005	9/2007
1	City of Coquille 24292-01	\$7,500,000	I	20/30	30/45	11/2005	11/2005	1/2007
101	City of Cove 24681-03	\$1,370,000	I,IIIA	No Disch.	No Disch.	3/2006	3/2006	10/2006
84	Cove Orchard Sew. Ser. Dist 24685-01	\$35,000	IIIA	No Disch.	No Disch.	5/2006	5/2006	10/2006
11	Crescent Sanitary District 25130-00	\$2,500,000	I,IVA,IVB	No Disch.	No Disch.	12/2005	6/2006	11/2007
14	City of Creswell 25140-04	\$6,100,000	I,IVB	No Disch.	No Disch.	11/2005	12/2005	12/2006
59	Deschutes SWCD 27611-04	\$2,000,000	319	No Disch.	No Disch.	11/2005	11/2005	12/2007
95	Dexter Sanitary District 27850-03	\$1,000,000	IIIA	No Disch.	No Disch.	3/2006	5/2006	10/2006
27	City of Drain 29200-02	\$90,000	I	No Disch.	No Disch.	5/2006	6/2006	6/2007
28	City of Drain 29200-04	\$207,263	II,IIIA,V	30/30	30/30	4/2006	5/2006	12/2007
35	City of Dundee 29650-04	\$5,300,000	I,IIIA	No Disch.	No Disch.	11/2005	12/2005	12/2006
106	City of Enterprise 31450-03	\$120,000	IIIA	30/30	30/30	1/2006	2/2006	9/2006
49	City of Falls City 32140-03	\$1,583,120	II	No Disch.	20/20	1/2006	3/2006	11/2006
117	Gardiner Sanitary District 35540-00	\$21,975	IIIA	10/10	30/30	11/2005	4/2006	7/2006
8	City of Garibaldi 35611-00	\$3,750,000	I,IIIB	20/20	30/30	3/2006	3/2006	3/2007
9	City of Glendale 37451-03	\$100,000	II	10/10	30/30	1/2006	2/2006	12/2005
9	City of Glendale 37452-03	\$400,000	II	10/10	30/30	1/2006	2/2006	12/2005

## CLEAN WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND

## INTENDED USE PLAN

Priority Ranking	Applicant Project No.	Request	EPA Needs Category	Discharge Limits (BOD/TSS 30 Day)		Project Schedule		
				Summer	Winter	Sign Agmt.	Start	Complete
126	City of Gold Hill 37840-05	\$1,158,000	I,IVA	Permit # 102494		3/2006	6/2006	5/2008
25	Government Camp S.D. 38351-01	\$25,000	I,IVA, IVB	No Disch.	No Disch.	11/2005	11/2005	4/2006
13	City of Haines 40352-01	\$750,000	IIIA,IVB,V	No Disch.	No Disch.	12/2005	8/2006	12/2007
78	City of Halsey 40670-04	\$200,000	II,IIIA,IIIB, IVA,IVB,V	No Disch.	30/50	11/2005	1/2006	7/2006
75	City of Harrisburg 41901-99	\$4,400,000	I,IIIA,IVB	No Disch.	30/30	12/2005	1/2006	12/2007
33	City of Imbler 47571-03	\$500,000	I,IVA,IVB	No Disch.	No Disch.	11/2005	2/2006	10/2006
112	City of Lone 47690-03	\$40,000	I	No Disch.	No Disch.	3/2006	4/2006	3/2007
90	City of John Day 49260-03	\$1,600,000	IVB	30/30	30/30	5/2006	6/2006	9/2007
100	City of Klamath Falls 52601-04	\$1,655,400	IVB	Permit #100701		11/2005	11/2005	8/2006
115	Klamath Tribes 5260A-99	\$50,000	I	No Disch.	No Disch.	12/2005	1/2006	6/2006
115	Klamath Tribes 5260B-99	\$1,260,000	I	No Disch.	No Disch.	1/2006	3/2006	12/2006
124	City of Lafayette 54250-06	\$500,000	I,II,IIIA,IIIB	Permit #101147		7/2005	7/2005	9/2006
31	La Pine Special Sewer Dist. 55081-04	\$350,000	I	No Disch.	No Disch.	1/2006	9/2006	10/2008
67	City of Lebanon 5620A-00	\$1,384,000	I,IIIA	10/10	25/30	11/2005	1/2006	10/2006
67	City of Lebanon 5620B-00	\$311,000	IIIA,IVA, VI	10/10	25/30	11/2005	11/2005	2/2006
67	City of Lebanon 5620C-00	\$216,320	II	10/10	25/30	11/2005	11/2005	9/2006
125	City of Lebanon 56200-06	\$3,442,000	I	Permit #101771		7/2005	5/2007	1/2008
127	City of Lebanon 56201-06	\$3,232,000	I	Permit #101770		7/2005	1/2006	10/2007
119	City of Lowell 59220-04	\$88,000	IIIB	40/40	40/40	11/2005	11/2005	6/2006
59	Marion Co. Public Works 6299A-99	\$50,000	Nonpoint Source	No Disch.	No Disch.	11/2005	11/2005	10/2007
59	Marion Co. Public Works 6299B-99	\$2,100,000	Nonpoint Source	No Disch.	No Disch.	11/2005	11/2005	10/2007
38	City of Medford 6412A-97	\$400,000	II	10/10	30/30	1/2006	6/2006	12/2008
38	City of Medford 6412B-97	\$21,600,000	II	10/10	30/30	1/2006	6/2006	12/2008
93	City of Metolius 6484A-97	\$50,000	IIIB,V	No Disch.	No Disch.	7/2005	7/2005	12/2005
93	City of Metolius 6484B-97	\$1,450,000	IIIB,V	No Disch.	No Disch.	3/2006	3/2006	12/2006
87	Metro Wastewater Mgmt Com. 64840-99	\$3,000,000	II	No Disch.	No Disch.	11/2005	11/2005	10/2006
102	Metro Wastewater Mgmt Com 64840-06	\$30,000,000	I,II,IIIA,IVB	Permit #102486		7/2005	7/2005	6/2010
51	Miles Crossing/Jeffer's Gardens 6523B-00	\$3,068,191	I,IVA,IVB	No Disch.	No Disch.	1/2006	1/2006	1/2008

## CLEAN WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND

## INTENDED USE PLAN

Priority Ranking	Applicant Project No.	Request	EPA Needs Category	Discharge Limits (BOD/TSS 30 Day)		Project Schedule		
				Summer	Winter	Sign Agmt.	Start	Complete
21	City of Milwaukie 65580-99	\$7,600,000	IVA,IVB	No Disch.	No Disch.	4/2006	7/2006	7/2009
113	City of Molalla 66100-06	\$2,670,000	II	Permit #101514		7/2005	7/2005	1/2007
30	City of Monroe 66270-04	\$5,000,000	I,IIIA,IVB	No Disch.	45/60	11/2005	1/2006	10/2008
120	City of Moro 66790-00	\$20,000	I	No Disch.	No Disch.	11/2005	11/2005	11/2006
81	City of Moro 66791-03	\$1,000,000	I,IIIA	No Disch.	No Disch.	1/2006	4/2006	10/2006
23	City of Myrtle Point 67981-02	\$1,500,000	319	No Disch.	No Disch.	11/2005	12/2005	10/2006
99	Neskowin Reg. S.A. 68651-01	\$88,200	IVA, IVB	No Disch.	10/10	1/2006	1/2006	3/2006
97	Neskowin Reg. S.A. 68652-01	\$294,750	IVA, IVB	No Disch.	10/10	11/2005	11/2005	1/2006
80	Neskowin Reg. S.A. 68653-02	\$50,000	I,IIIA,IVB	No Disch.	10/10	3/2006	3/2006	6/2006
74	Netarts Oceanside Sanitary Dist. 68681-03	\$10,000,000	I	30/30	30/30	11/2005	11/2005	12/2007
5	City of Nyssa 6998-97	\$1,060,000	I,IIIB	25/45	35/45	2/2006	4/2006	10/2007
88	City of Oakridge 7003A-97	\$70,000	VI	20/20	30/30	11/2005	11/2005	8/2006
88	City of Oakridge 7003B-97	\$120,000	IIIA	20/20	30/30	11/2005	11/2005	11/2006
123	Odell Sanitary District 70140-05	\$3,500,000	I	10/10	30/30	11/2005	11/2005	4/2006
54	City of Ontario 70613-03	\$1,519,500	IIIA,IVB	No Disch.	30/85	12/2005	1/2006	1/2007
72	City of Philomath 73270-99	\$380,000	IIIA	No Disch.	No Disch.	11/2005	3/2006	6/2006
15	City of Portland 74164-04	\$7,246,000	319	No Disch.	No Disch.	11/2005	11/2005	6/2011
37	City of Port Orford 74170-03	\$1,000,000	I,IIIA	15/15	15/15	12/2005	5/2006	1/2007
56	Powder Valley Water Ctrl. Dist. 74300-04	\$150,000	319	No Disch.	No Disch.	11/2005	11/2005	1/2006
6	City of Rainier 75261-03	\$7,215,000	I	20/20	30/30	1/2006	1/2006	9/2008
128	City of Riddle 77400-06	\$810,000	IIIB	Permit #101196		11/2005	3/2006	3/2007
53	City of Rivergrove 77740-00	\$1,000,000	IVA,IVB	No Disch.	No Disch.	No Schedule	No Schedule	No Schedule
15	City of Salem 81211-04	\$6,000,000	I,IVA	32/37	25/30	11/2005	1/2006	10/2006
114	City of Salem 80212-05	\$10,950,000	I	Permit #0026409		2/2006	2/2006	12/2007
71	City of Scappoose 8093A-99	\$2,630,000	IVB	20/20	30/30	12/2005	7/2006	12/2006
22	City of Scappoose 8093B-99	\$340,000	I	20/20	30/30	12/2005	1/2006	1/2007
121	City of Seneca 82920-00	\$112,500	IIIA	No Disch.	No Disch.	2/2006	5/2006	6/2006
63	City of St. Helens 80161-04	\$345,000	IVB	BOD-lb/day: TSS-lb/day:	12,800 26,862	11/2005	11/2005	12/2006



## CLEAN WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND

## INTENDED USE PLAN

Priority Ranking	Applicant Project No.	Request	EPA Needs Category	Discharge Limits (BOD/TSS 30 Day)		Project Schedule		
				Summer	Winter	Sign Agmt.	Start	Complete
63	City of St. Helens 80162-04	\$1,640,000	IVB	BOD-lb/day: TSS-lb/day:	12,800 26,862	1/2006	6/2006	6/2008
63	City of St. Helens 80163-04	\$1,200,000	IIIB	BOD-lb/day: TSS-lb/day:	12,800 26,862	1/2006	1/2006	12/2007
63	City of St. Helens 80164-04	\$1,480,000	IVB	BOD-lb/day: TSS-lb/day:	12,800 26,862	11/2005	11/2005	12/2007
44	City of St. Helens 80165-04	\$2,000,000	IIIA, VI	BOD-lb/day: TSS-lb/day:	12,800 26,862	1/2006	4/2006	12/2007
91	City of Shady Cove 83120-04	\$2,225,000	I, II, IVB	10/10	30/30	11/2005	11/2005	10/2006
34	City of Sheridan 83811-04	\$7,194,000	I, II, IIIA, IVB	No Disch.	No Disch.	11/2005	12/2005	12/2007
70	City of Springfield 86772-01	\$3,750,000	IIIA, IIIB	10/10	25/30	11/2005	6/2006	10/2006
36	City of Stanfield 8716B-97	\$2,000,000	I, IVB	No Disch.	30/45	11/2005	12/2005	12/2006
103	City of Sutherlin 89550-99	\$400,000	I	10/10	30/30	2/2006	2/2006	4/2006
46	City of Sweet Home 89751-04	\$3,000,000	II	10/10	15/20	1/2006	1/2006	12/2007
18	City of The Dalles 9080B-97	\$2,300,000	I	20/20	30/30	1/2006	5/2006	5/2007
3	Tillamook Co. Community Development 91570-03	\$413,000	Nonpoint Source	No Disch.	No Disch.	1/2006	4/2006	12/2008
40	Tillamook Co. SWCD 9156C-99	\$25,000	Nonpoint Source	No Disch.	No Disch.	1/2006	1/2006	1/2008
40	Tillamook Co. SWCD 9156D-99	\$400,000	Nonpoint Source	No Disch.	No Disch.	1/2006	1/2006	1/2008
40	Tillamook Co. SWCD 9156E-99	\$421,587	Estuary	No Disch.	No Disch.	3/2006	9/2006	9/2007
40	Tillamook Co. SWCD 9156F-99	\$1,500,000	Nonpoint Source	No Disch.	No Disch.	1/2006	1/2006	1/2008
32	City of Tillamook 91563-01	\$300,000	IVA	20/20	30/30	11/2005	5/2006	10/2006
4	City of Tillamook 91565-03	\$5,000,000	I, IIIA, IIIB, IVA, IVB	20/20	30/30	3/2006	9/2006	10/2008
47	City of Vernonia 93641-03	\$7,176,000	I, IVA, IVB	No Disch.	30/50	3/2006	3/2006	2/2007
50	City of Wallowa 9458-97	\$300,000	IIIB	30/85	30/85	11/2005	1/2006	1/2007
52	City of Wasco 94961-00	\$1,500,000	IIIA, IIIB, V	No Disch.	No Disch.	2/2006	2/2006	12/2008
110	Wedderburn Sanitary Dist. 9551B-99	\$50,000	IIIA, V, VI	No Disch.	No Disch.	2/2006	2/2006	2/2007
118	Wedderburn Sanitary Dist. 95510-00	\$810,000	IIIA, V, VI, 319	No Disch.	No Disch.	3/2006	5/2006	5/2007
92	Wedderburn Sanitary Dist. 95511-01	\$75,000	IIIA	No Disch.	No Disch.	11/2005	4/2006	12/2006
85	Wedderburn Sanitary Dist. 95512-03	\$786,500	IIIA, IVB	No Disch.	No Disch.	11/2005	12/2005	10/2006
58	City of Westfir 96220-00	\$200,000	IIIB, VI	10/10	20/20	11/2005	11/2005	10/2006
111	City of Weston 9623B-97	\$500,000	I, II, IIIA	30/30	30/30	2/2006	4/2006	4/2007
12	Winchester Bay Sanitary Dist. 97640-04	\$5,700,000	II, IVB	20/20	30/30	6/2006	11/2006	8/2008

Priority Ranking	Applicant Project No.	Request	EPA Needs Category	Discharge Limits (BOD/TSS 30 Day)		Project Schedule		
				Summer	Winter	Sign Agmt.	Start	Complete
73	City of Wood Village 98520-99	\$1,500,000	IVB	No Disch.	No Disch.	11/2005	6/2006	11/2006
48	City of Wood Village 98521-04	\$3,500,000	IIIA,IIIB,IVA, IVB	No Disch.	No Disch.	7/2005	9/2005	7/2010
55	City of Yoncalla 99340-99	\$358,250	IIIA	No Disch.	30/50	3/2006	3/2006	8/2007
29	City of Yoncalla 99341-04	\$490,000	IIIA,V	No Disch.	30/30	11/2005	11/2005	6/2007

## ELIGIBLE PROJECTS IN PRIORITY ORDER: PRIORITY LIST

New projects are added to the projects carried forward on the Priority List from prior years to make up the FY 2006 List of Eligible Projects in Priority Order. When an application is approved, the project funded, and a loan agreement signed, the project is removed from this list. Other projects are removed from the list when requested by the applicant or funded by other sources. After 36 months on the list, applicants will be notified that their project will be removed from the list unless an extension is arranged. Projects scored using the new criteria will generally earn a lower score than the older projects, because of the differences in criteria emphasis, and because there are no points for affordability or population. As the older projects are removed from the Priority List, the newer projects will compare more favorably with one another. It is important at this time, however, that projects ready to proceed move to the loan agreement stage as soon as possible, while sufficient CWSRF funds are available.

Priority Ranking	Proj. No.	Applicant	Loan Request	SC	FP	POINT SCORES				
						Waterbody Sensitivity	Problem Severity	Affordability	Population	Total
1	24292-01	City of Coquille	\$7,500,000	SC		50	30	10	7.25	97.25
2	20591-02	City of Canyonville	\$8,500,000	SC		50	30	10	6.51	96.51
3	91570-03	Tillamook Co. Comm. Dev. Dept.	\$413,000			50	30	0	8.38	88.38
4	91565-03	City of Tillamook	\$5,000,000	SC		50	30	0	7.29	87.29
5	6998-97	City of Nyssa	\$1,060,000	SC		40	30	10	6.95	86.95
6	75261-03	City of Rainier	\$7,215,000	SC		40	30	10	6.46	86.46
7	18570-04	City of Brownsville	\$7,015,000	SC		40	30	10	6.32	86.32
8	35611-00	City of Garibaldi	\$3,750,000	SC		40	30	10	5.99	85.99
9	37451-03	City of Glendale	\$100,000	SC	FP	50	30	0	5.87	85.87
9	37452-03	City of Glendale	\$400,000	SC		50	30	0	5.87	85.87
11	25130-00	Crescent Sanitary District	\$2,500,000	SC		40	30	10	5.75	85.75
12	97640-04	Winchester Bay Sanitary Dist.	\$5,700,000	SC		40	30	10	5.46	85.46
13	40352-01	City of Haines	\$750,000	SC		40	30	10	5.34	85.34
14	25140-04	City of Creswell	\$6,100,000	SC		40	30	7	7.12	84.12
15	74164-04	City of Portland	\$7,246,000			40	30	0	10.00	80.00
15	80211-04	City of Salem	\$6,000,000			40	30	0	10.00	80.00
17	24194-04	City of Coos Bay	\$5,000,000			40	30	0	8.37	78.37
18	9080B-97	City of The Dalles	\$2,300,000			40	30	0	8.32	78.32
19	1270A-02	City of Baker City	\$80,000		FP	40	30	0	8.05	78.05

## CLEAN WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND

## INTENDED USE PLAN

Priority Ranking	Proj. No.	Applicant	Loan Request	SC	FP	POINT SCORES				
						Waterbody Sensitivity	Problem Severity	Affordability	Population	Total
20	1179-97	City of Astoria	\$16,000,000			40	30	0	8.00	78.00
21	65580-99	City of Milwaukie	\$7,600,000			40	30	0	7.57	77.57
22	8093B-99	City of Scappoose	\$340,000	SC		40	30	0	7.34	77.34
23	67981-02	City of Myrtle Point	\$1,500,000	SC		40	20	10	7.31	77.31
24	24200-01	Coos County	\$1,000,000	SC		40	30	0	7.02	77.02
25	38351-01	Government Camp S.D.	\$25,000	SC	FP	30	30	10	6.42	76.42
26	22652-03	City of Clatskanie	\$735,000	SC		40	30	0	6.37	76.37
27	29200-02	City of Drain	\$90,000	SC	FP	40	30	0	6.16	76.16
28	29200-04	City of Drain	\$207,263	SC		40	30	0	6.10	76.10
29	99341-04	City of Yoncalla	\$490,000	SC		40	20	10	6.06	76.06
30	66270-04	City of Monroe	\$5,000,000	SC		40	30	0	5.57	75.57
31	55081-04	La Pine Special Sewer District	\$350,000	SC		30	30	10	5.52	75.52
32	91563-01	City of Tillamook	\$300,000	SC		40	30	0	4.95	74.95
33	47571-03	City of Imbler	\$500,000	SC		40	30	0	4.89	74.89
34	83811-04	City of Sheridan	\$7,194,000			40	20	7	7.49	74.49
35	29650-04	City of Dundee	\$5,300,000	SC		40	20	7	6.95	73.95
36	8716B-97	City of Stanfield	\$2,000,000	SC		40	20	7	6.46	73.46
37	74170-03	City of Port Orford	\$1,000,000	SC		30	30	7	6.26	73.26
38	6412A-97	City of Medford	\$400,000		FP	40	20	0	10.00	70.00
38	6412B-97	City of Medford	\$21,600,000			40	20	0	10.00	70.00
40	9156C-99	Tillamook County SWCD	\$25,000			40	20	0	8.72	68.72
40	9156D-99	Tillamook County SWCD	\$400,000			40	20	0	8.72	68.72
40	9156E-99	Tillamook County SWCD	\$421,587			40	20	0	8.72	68.72
40	9156F-99	Tillamook County SWCD	\$1,500,000			40	20	0	8.72	68.72
44	80165-04	City of St. Helens	\$2,000,000			30	30	0	8.23	68.23
45	1270B-02	City of Baker City	\$2,322,000			40	20	0	8.05	68.05
46	89751-04	City of Sweet Home	\$3,000,000			30	30	0	7.83	67.83
47	93641-03	City of Vernonia	\$7,176,000	SC		40	20	0	6.69	66.69
48	98521-04	City of Wood Village	\$3,500,000	SC		40	20	0	6.18	66.18
49	32140-03	City of Falls City	\$1,583,120	SC		20	30	10	5.97	65.97
50	9458-97	City of Wallowa	\$300,000	SC		20	30	10	5.85	65.85
51	6523B-00	Miles Crossing Sanitary Sewer District	\$3,068,191	SC		30	20	10	5.63	65.63
52	94961-00	City of Wasco	\$1,500,000	SC		20	30	10	5.25	65.25
53	77740-00	City of Rivergrove	\$1,000,000	SC		40	20	0	5.09	65.09
54	70613-03	City of Ontario	\$1,519,500			40	10	7	6.89	63.89
55	99340-99	City of Yoncalla	\$358,250	SC		30	20	7	6.02	63.02
56	74300-04	Powder Valley Water Ctrl Dist.	\$150,000	SC		40	10	10	2.00	62.00
57	10860-99	Alsea County Service District	\$150,000	SC		40	10	7	4.51	61.51
58	96220-00	City of Westfir	\$200,000	SC		20	30	7	4.45	61.45
59	6299A-99	Marion County Public Works	\$50,000		FP	40	10	0	10.00	60.00
59	6299B-99	Marion County Public Works	\$2,100,000			40	10	0	10.00	60.00
59	14002-02	Rogue Valley Sewer Services	\$7,500,000			40	10	0	10.00	60.00
59	27611-04	Deschutes SWCD	\$2,000,000			40	10	0	10.00	60.00
63	80161-04	City of St. Helens	\$345,000			30	20	0	8.23	58.23
63	80162-04	City of St. Helens	\$1,640,000			30	20	0	8.23	58.23
63	80163-04	City of St. Helens	\$1,200,000			30	20	0	8.23	58.23
63	80164-04	City of St. Helens	\$1,480,000			30	20	0	8.23	58.23
67	5620A-00	City of Lebanon	\$1,384,000			30	20	0	8.16	58.16
67	5620B-00	City of Lebanon	\$311,000			30	20	0	8.16	58.16

## CLEAN WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND

## INTENDED USE PLAN

Priority Ranking	Proj. No.	Applicant	Loan Request	SC	FP	POINT SCORES				
						Waterbody Sensitivity	Problem Severity	Affordability	Population	Total
67	5620C-00	City of Lebanon	\$216,320			30	20	0	8.16	58.16
70	86772-01	City of Springfield	\$3,750,000			30	20	0	8.00	58.00
71	8093A-99	City of Scappoose	\$2,630,000	SC		40	10	0	7.34	57.34
72	73270-99	City of Philomath	\$380,000	SC		40	10	0	7.13	57.13
73	98520-99	City of Wood Village	\$1,500,000	SC		40	10	0	6.95	56.95
74	68681-03	Netarts Oceanside Sanitary District	\$10,000,000	SC		30	20	0	6.92	56.92
75	41901-99	City of Harrisburg	\$4,400,000	SC		20	20	10	6.91	56.91
76	22651-03	City of Clatskanie	\$70,000	SC	FP	40	10	0	6.37	56.37
77	19154-04	Bunker Hill Sanitary District	\$329,200	SC		40	10	0	6.33	56.33
78	40670-04	City of Halsey	\$200,000	SC		20	30	0	5.72	55.72
79	10200-00	City of Adair Village	\$280,000	SC		30	20	0	5.51	55.51
80	68653-02	Neskowin Regional S.A.	\$50,000	SC	FP	30	10	10	5.20	55.20
81	66791-03	City of Moro	\$1,000,000	SC		20	30	0	5.06	55.06
82	11431-03	Arch Cape Sanitary District	\$79,700	SC		30	20	0	4.91	54.91
83	2203B-97	Chemult Sanitary District	\$2,300,000	SC		30	10	10	4.60	54.60
84	24685-01	Cove Orchard Sew Ser Dist	\$35,000	SC		20	20	10	4.26	54.26
85	95512-03	Wedderburn Sanitary District	\$786,500	SC		20	20	7	5.53	52.53
86	19153-03	Bunker Hill Sanitary District	\$667,000	SC		20	20	7	3.48	50.48
87	64840-99	Metro W/W Mgmt Comm.	\$3,000,000			40	0	0	10.00	50.00
88	7003A-97	City of Oakridge	\$70,000	SC	FP	20	20	0	7.02	47.02
88	7003B-97	City of Oakridge	\$120,000	SC		20	20	0	7.02	47.02
90	49260-03	City of John Day	\$1,600,000	SC		20	20	0	6.80	46.80
91	83120-04	City of Shady Cove	\$2,225,000	SC		40	0	0	6.78	46.78
92	95511-01	Wedderburn Sanitary District	\$75,000	SC		20	20	0	5.88	45.88
93	6484A-97	City of Metolius	\$50,000	SC	FP	20	10	10	5.60	45.60
93	6484B-97	City of Metolius	\$1,450,000	SC		20	10	10	5.60	45.60
95	27850-03	Dexter Sanitary District	\$1,000,000	SC		20	20	0	5.56	45.56
96	11433-03	Arch Cape Sanitary District	\$3,344,500	SC		30	10	0	4.91	44.91
97	68652-01	Neskowin Reg. S.A.	\$294,750	SC		30	10	0	4.80	44.80
98	19152-03	Bunker Hill Sanitary District	\$817,000	SC		20	20	0	3.48	43.48
99	68651-01	Neskowin Reg. S.A.	\$88,200	SC		30	10	0	3.20	43.20
100	52601-04	City of Klamath Falls	\$1,655,400							43.00
101	24681-03	City of Cove	\$1,370,000	SC		20	10	7	5.56	42.56
102	64840-06	Metro. Wastewater Mgmt. Com.	\$30,000,000							40.00
103	89550-99	City of Sutherlin	\$400,000			20	10	0	7.64	37.64
104	2191A-97	Charleston Sanitary District	\$4,000,000	SC		10	10	10	7.01	37.01
105	24192-01	City of Coos Bay	\$170,000			20	10	0	6.89	36.89
106	31450-03	City of Enterprise	\$120,000	SC	FP	30	0	0	6.60	36.60
107	24190-01	City of Coos Bay	\$36,000			20	10	0	6.43	36.43
108	24191-01	City of Coos Bay	\$52,000			20	10	0	6.35	36.35
108	24193-01	City of Coos Bay	\$62,000			20	10	0	6.35	36.35
110	9551B-99	Wedderburn Sanitary District	\$50,000	SC		10	20	0	5.88	35.88
111	9623B-97	City of Weston	\$500,000	SC		20	10	0	5.59	35.59
112	47690-03	City of Lone	\$40,000	SC	FP	20	10	0	5.01	35.01
113	66100-06	City of Mololla	\$2,670,000							31.00
114	80212-05	City of Salem	\$10,950,000							27.00
115	5260A-99	Klamath Tribes	\$50,000	SC	FP	10	10	0	6.00	26.00
115	5260B-99	Klamath Tribes	\$1,260,000	SC		10	10	0	6.00	26.00

Priority Ranking	Proj. No.	Applicant	Loan Request	SC	FP	POINT SCORES				
						Waterbody Sensitivity	Problem Severity	Afford-ability	Popu-lation	Total
117	35540-00	Gardiner Sanitary District	\$21,975	SC	FP	20	0	0	5.90	25.90
118	95510-00	Wedderburn Sanitary District	\$810,000	SC		20	0	0	5.88	25.88
119	59220-04	City of Lowell	\$88,000	SC		20	0	0	5.87	25.87
120	66790-00	City of Moro	\$20,000	SC	FP	10	10	0	5.06	25.06
121	82920-00	City of Seneca	\$112,500	SC		10	10	0	4.72	24.72
122	19150-00	Bunker Hill Sanitary District	\$385,000	SC		20	0	0	3.40	23.40
123	70140-05	Odell Sanitary District	\$3,500,000	SC						22.00
124	54250-06	City of Lafayette	\$500,000	SC						18.00
125	56200-06	City of Lebanon	\$3,442,000							15.00
126	37840-05	City of Gold Hill	\$1,158,000	SC						13.00
127	56201-06	City of Lebanon	\$3,232,000							12.00
128	77400-06	City of Riddle	\$810,000	SC						9.00
129	11850-05	City of Athena	\$990,000	SC						2.00
<b>Notes: SC: Eligible for Small Communities Funds</b> <b>FP: Eligible for Facility Planning Funds</b>										

## PREVIOUS PRIORITY RANKING CRITERIA

Prior to June 1, 2003, new applications for funding were ranked based on the following criteria established by Oregon Administrative Rule 340-54-025(3). Any project applications received after June 1, 2003 are ranked using new criteria (listed below). The previous criteria are as follows:

POINT CRITERIA	POINT ASSIGNMENT	WATER QUALITY DESCRIPTION
(1) Receiving Water Body Sensitivity: (One choice only)	50	Facility discharges to waters of the state where the EQC has established Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDL) and associated waste load allocations (WLA).
		Facility discharges to an EPA designated sole source aquifer.
	40	Facility discharges to waters of the state that are listed in the current 305(b) Report under the Beneficial Uses column as "not supporting".
		Facility discharges to ground water that has a documented increase in the concentration of a contaminant above the ground water background level and levels in Tables 1 or 2 of OAR Chapter 340, Division 40.
		Facility discharges to ground water located in a designated wellhead protection area.
	30	Facility discharges to waters of the state which are any of the following:
		(1) Designated as a Wild and Scenic River by the federal government; (2) Designated as a State Scenic Waterway by ORS 390.826; (3) Designated as Outstanding Resource Waters by the EQC; (4) Referenced in OAR 340-41-470 with special restrictions; (5) Determined to be a sensitive estuarine habitat by the DEQ; (6) Listed under Fishes in the latest Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants (50 CFR 17.11 & 17.12) as threatened or endangered in Oregon.
		Facility discharges to ground water that has a documented increase in the concentration of a contaminant above the ground water background level but the contamination level is below the levels in Tables 1 and 2 of OAR Chapter 340, Division 40.
		Facility discharges to ground water located in a designated ground water

		management area.
	20	Facility discharges to waters of the state that are listed in the current 305(b) Report under the Beneficial Uses column as "partially supporting".
		Facility discharges to ground water where the contaminant in the discharge is listed in Tables 1 and 2 of OAR Chapter 340, Division 40 and is known to cause ground water contamination but there is no ground water quality data available to substantiate the problem.
		Facility discharges to ground water that has a documented increase in the concentration of a contaminant above the ground water background level and levels in Table 3 of OAR Chapter 340, Division 40.
		Facility discharges to waters of the state not referenced elsewhere in this criteria.
	10	Facility discharges to the ocean, the Columbia River or the Snake River.
		Facility discharges to ground water that has a documented increase in the concentration of a contaminant above the ground water background level but the contamination level is below the levels in Table 3 of OAR Chapter 340, Division 40.
(2) Enforcement Activities & Water Quality Violations (One choice only)	30	Environmental Quality Commission (EQC) Orders.
		Mutual Agreements and Orders.
		Department Orders or permit conditions mandating action.
		EQC rules requiring elimination of a specific water quality problem related to inadequate water pollution control facilities.
		Documented health hazards with associated documented water quality problem.
	20	Noncompliance with the Department's statutes, rules or permit requirements resulting from inadequate water pollution control facilities.
	10	Documented health hazards without documented water quality problem.
		An enforcement order of the Oregon Health Division relating to safe drinking water.
		Existing potential water quality problem as noted by the Department but undocumented.
(3) Affordability (10 points max.)	7	The cost per household of the wastewater treatment system (including operation, maintenance, and debt service for prior and new wastewater projects) exceeds 1.5% of the median household income of the community.
	10	The cost per household of the wastewater treatment system (including prior capital improvements outstanding and the proposed project) exceeds 1.75% of the median household income of the community.
(4) Population (10 points max.)	LOG (Current Population) <sup>2</sup>	Points calculated based upon the population directly affected by the project.

## NEW PRIORITY RANKING CRITERIA

Any applications for new projects received after June 1, 2003 are ranked based on the program's new criteria established by Oregon Administrative Rule 340-054-0025. New criteria are as follows:

**Category 1:** Proposed Project's anticipated benefit for water quality or public health

**1A**—(0 or 8 points)—Project addresses water quality or public health issue within a "special status" water body

**1B**—(0-6 points)—Project addresses noncompliance with water quality standards, a public health issue or effluent limits related to surface waters

**1C**—(0-6 points)—Project addresses noncompliance with water quality standards or a public health issue related to groundwater

**1D**—(0-12 points)—Project ensures that a source already in compliance maintains that compliance.

**1E**—(0-8 points)—Project improves or sustains aquatic habitat supporting state or federally threatened or endangered species

**1F**—(0-12 points)—Project incorporates wastewater reuse or a water quality-related conservation process

**1G**—(0-7 points)—Project improves water quality by mitigating any of the following pollutants: temperature, dissolved oxygen, contaminated sediments, toxics on the EPA Priority Pollutants List, bacteria or nutrients

**1H**—(0-5 points)—Project supports the implementation of a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) allocation or action plan for a Ground Water Management Area

**1I**—(0-6 points)—Project addresses a water quality or public health issue involving "Persistent Bioaccumulative Toxics" (PBT's)

**Category 2:** Potential water quality or public health consequences of not funding the proposed project

**2A**—(0-5 points)—If the proposed project is not implemented, water quality standards are likely to be exceeded or existing exceedances are likely to worsen

**2B**—(0-5 points)—If the proposed project is not implemented, the resulting impact is likely to cause a public health problem

**2C**—(0-5 points)—A unique opportunity to implement the proposed project currently exists due to timing, finances or other limitations that would not allow this project to be implemented in the future

**Category 3:** Other considerations

**3A**—(0-3 points)—Project has significant educational or outreach component

**3B**—(0-3 points)—Project demonstrates innovative technology which is transferable

**3C**—(0-3 points)—Project is a partnership with other group(s), incorporating self-help, financial or in-kind support

**3D**—(0-5 points)—Project incorporates monitoring, reporting or adaptive management

**3E**—(0 or 1 point)—Project addresses or includes risk management, safety or security measures

**3F**—(0-minus 5 points)—Applicant's past performance with previous Department loans or grants such as, but not limited to, failure to satisfy match requirements of a grant, failure to complete the project or failure to submit any other required deliverable in a timely manner.

## **FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR LOANS**

Two calculations of funds available for FY 2006 will be presented in this IUP, one assuming there will be no federal capitalization grant, and one including the grant and related State match. This is done so that DEQ can begin to provide financial assistance as soon as this IUP is complete. The federal grant for FY 2006 will probably not be available until the Spring of 2006, and DEQ would like to begin funding new projects as soon as possible, so we are presenting a calculation of program funds available assuming we will receive no grant. When the federal grant is received, we will proceed on that basis.

### **Funds Available With No Cap Grant**

The table below identifies the funds available for new loans and amendments in FY 2006, assuming there will be no federal cap grant and related State match. Although it is highly unlikely there will be no grant during FY 2006, we present this schedule of available funds since DEQ wishes to issue loans prior to award of the federal grant.

The "Sources of Funds" section identifies the total amount of the fund and its sources of capitalization and revenue, on a cumulative basis. The "Uses of Funds" shows the amount allocated to existing loans and administration expense. Totaling the two sections yields the net amount available for new loans and amendments.



**PROJECTED FUNDS AVAILABLE TO LOAN DURING 2006 – NO FEDERAL GRANT**

<b>Sources of Funds:</b>	<b>Actual Through FY 2004</b>	<b>Actual For FY 2005</b>	<b>Estimated For FY 2006</b>	<b>Total</b>
Federal Capitalization Grants	251,316,671	12,172,941	0	263,489,612
State Match	50,263,335	2,994,215	0	53,257,550
Investment Earnings	19,517,293	1,853,881	1,500,000	22,871,174
Loan Principal Repayments	107,960,869	13,171,290	62,448,829	183,580,988
Loan Interest Payments	48,713,210	9,066,091	32,957,767	90,737,068
Transfer From Loan Fees Account	3,000,000	0	0	3,000,000
<b>Total Sources of Cash</b>	<b>480,771,378</b>	<b>39,258,418</b>	<b>96,906,596</b>	<b>616,936,392</b>
<b>Uses of Funds:</b>				
Loans and Amendments	475,773,125	61,095,950		536,869,075
Administration Expense (@ 4% of Grant)	10,052,667	0	0	10,052,667
Debt Service on Match Bonds *	6,454,188	11,622,335	5,921,356	23,997,879
<b>Total Uses of Cash</b>	<b>492,279,980</b>	<b>72,718,285</b>	<b>5,921,356</b>	<b>570,919,621</b>
<b>Sources of Cash less Uses of Cash</b>	<b>-11,508,602</b>	<b>-33,459,867</b>	<b>90,985,240</b>	<b>46,016,771</b>
<b>Net Available to Loan - FY 2006</b>			<b>46,016,771</b>	<b>46,016,771</b>

\* the 2006 amount is reduced by the amount of 2005 match raised in excess of the requirement

**Funds Available With the Federal Grant and Related State Match**

The table below calculates the estimated amount of funds available for loans during FY 2006, including a \$9,000,000 federal grant and the related \$1,800,000 in State matching funds. The presentation is the same as in the above schedule.

**PROJECTED FUNDS AVAILABLE TO LOAN DURING 2006 – WITH FEDERAL GRANT**

<b>Sources of Funds:</b>	<b>Actual Through FY 2004</b>	<b>Actual For FY 2005</b>	<b>Estimated For FY 2006</b>	<b>Total</b>
Federal Capitalization Grants	251,316,671	12,172,941	7,300,300	270,789,912
State Match	50,263,335	2,994,215	1,460,060	54,717,610
Investment Earnings	19,517,293	1,853,881	1,500,000	22,871,174
Loan Principal Repayments	107,960,869	13,171,290	62,448,829	183,580,988
Loan Interest Payments	48,713,210	9,066,091	32,957,767	90,737,068
Transfer From Loan Fees Account	3,000,000	0	0	3,000,000
<b>Total Sources of Cash</b>	<b>480,771,378</b>	<b>39,258,418</b>	<b>105,666,956</b>	<b>625,696,752</b>
<b>Uses of Funds:</b>				
Loans and Amendments	475,773,125	61,095,950		536,869,075
Administration Expense (@ 4% of Grant)	10,052,667	0	0	10,052,667
Debt Service on Match Bonds *	6,454,188	11,622,335	5,921,356	23,997,879
<b>Total Uses of Cash</b>	<b>492,279,980</b>	<b>72,718,285</b>	<b>5,921,356</b>	<b>570,919,621</b>
<b>Sources of Cash less Uses of Cash</b>	<b>-11,508,602</b>	<b>-33,459,867</b>	<b>99,745,600</b>	<b>54,777,131</b>
<b>Net Available to Loan - FY 2006</b>			<b>54,777,131</b>	<b>54,777,131</b>

\* the 2006 amount is reduced by the amount of 2005 match raised in excess of the requirement

**CAPITALIZATION FUNDS**

This Intended Use Plan will be used to allocate any additional federal or state funds which become available during 2006. The capitalization grant application will include a proposed federal payment schedule based on loan project schedules and when the cash will be needed. As this IUP is prepared, Congress is negotiating the FY 2006 budget for EPA, and the amount of actual Capitalization Grant available will likely be something other than the \$9,000,000 included above, however this figure is a reasonable estimate, based on House and Senate committee proposals to date.

State matching funds for the 2006 capitalization grant will be raised through the sale of a general obligation bond. The CWSRF loan program will be required to pay the debt service on this bond, and this will have some impact on the amount of funds available to make loans, both this year and in future years. This will immediately reduce the amount of funds available for loans by approximately \$1,809,000 (which includes the cost of issuing the bond). Bonds are issued for a very short term (no more than a week), which reduces the overall cost of the bonds. In addition to the cost of the FY 2006 match bond, the CWSRF will also pay approximately \$4,671,983 in debt service costs on bonds issued in previous years to provide matching funds for the program, reducing further the amount of funds available for loans. This amount includes the early

redemption of one series of bonds (1995), which redemption will reduce the overall cost of bond debt service in the long run.

## **INVESTMENT EARNINGS**

Investment earnings are projected conservatively for fiscal year 2006, as market investment rates continue to increase. The long term goal is to keep the cash reserves low – balancing the need for a cash reserve to cover the timing variances of the projects against the goal of keeping the funds in use by communities. As the program's available cash balance gradually declines, due to the disbursement of funds to borrowers, investment interest earnings will also gradually decline.

## **LOAN REPAYMENTS**

Projected repayments in the amount of \$94,006,906 are included in the cash available for new loans. This figure includes the following three categories of repayments (from most time certain to least time certain):

- (a) repayments on loans that are fully disbursed and already in repayment .
- (b) repayments of interim loans with long-term financing through USDA Rural Development, and
- (c) repayments on signed loans that are not fully disbursed at this time but are expected to be in repayment before the end of 2006.

The estimates for (b) and (c) are least time certain since changes to the repayment schedules as projects are completed tend to move repayments out in time. (Projects seldom finish ahead of schedule and frequently finish later than scheduled.) However, unscheduled prepayments tend to increase the actual repayments received. New loans for short-term projects also increase the repayments actually received. The net effect of these factors in recent years has been to increase actual repayments received over the amount projected. In addition, this estimate of repayments to be received does not include repayments from loans not yet executed, but which could be signed and in repayment during the period of this IUP.

The amount of repayments included above actually includes an estimate of repayments to be received in FY 2006, FY 2007, and FY 2008, based on existing loans. The reason for including FY 2007 and FY 2008 in this calculation is that demand for loan disbursement funds has historically been spread over at least three years after loan execution, and the inclusion of three years' loan repayments better matches the supply of funds to the demand for those funds. Failure to provide loans at a level that can be supported by the program means fewer water quality projects completed and higher balances of CWSRF cash remaining idle. Future calculations of funds available may, of course, be adjusted down, or even up, as conditions warrant. The CWSRF program cash balance is currently very high (greater than \$80,000,000), and we plan to issue more loan agreements in a shorter time in order to put this cash to use in the form of loan disbursements.

## **ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE**

Administrative expenses are now paid utilizing the fee income from our loans. Loan fees continue to be assessed through an annual loan fee in the amount of 0.5% of the unpaid loan balance. Administrative activity continues to be monitored to be sure that the program is scaled at a level that can be supported by the on-going fee base. We are confident that the collection of loan fees will actually be greater than the amount of administrative expense, and we will monitor the fees fund to see if, at some point, the amount of our fee could actually be reduced.

## LOAN ALLOCATIONS

Each year the maximum loan per borrower is established along with the planning reserve and small community reserve. These amounts are based on the total available funds as listed in the Intended Use Plan. For 2006, the maximum loan amount per borrower (including amendments) is \$6,671,655 (\$8,291,655 assuming receipt of the federal grant). The minimum amount to be allocated to small communities (population of 5,000 or less) is \$6,671,655 (\$8,291,655 assuming receipt of the federal grant). The minimum amount to be allocated to planning projects is \$1,236,975 (the amount currently on the Priority List for Planning projects). If the Planning reserve is not used, any remaining funds will be allocated to design and construction projects in priority order during the final quarter of the year.

The CWSRF loan program has established one additional reserve, the Expedited Loan Reserve. This will be used to fund loans that qualify as expedited loans under the new program rules. This reserve is not to exceed \$2,000,000. If the reserve is not allocated to loans during the year, any remaining funds available from this reserve on May 31st will be transferred to the general supply of funds.

Final applications for planning loans will be accepted throughout the fiscal year and projects will be funded until such time as the reserve is fully allocated, or until any remaining reserve is re-allocated to design and construction projects.

Projects are not allocated loan funds until an application is received and approved. Each year, all unfunded (or partially funded) applications are considered and any available funds are allocated to projects in priority order – first to small communities and planning projects, and then to all remaining projects. Small community or planning projects that are not fully funded through the Small Community or Planning reserves may be funded with the remaining funds, but only by competing with all other projects in priority order.

It is not expected that any projects will be funded for more than the maximum per borrower, however this could occur if applications received during 2006 do not allocate all available funding. Should this occur, remaining available funding may be offered to existing borrowers, on a competitive basis.

By rule (OAR 340-054-0025), the Oregon CWSRF gives funding priority to loan increases for existing projects, in order to assist communities until projects are complete. The amount and timing of these loan increases varies from year to year, and can have a significant impact on the amount of funds available for new projects. It is not anticipated that during fiscal year 2006 there will be requests for loan increases that would severely limit our ability to offer new loans.

Following are two tables documenting projects to be funded with 2006 CWSRF funds, based on the above calculations of funds available, one table assuming no federal grant, and the other including a typical grant (with related State match) and the requests for loan increases and applications that have already been received by the program. The top section of the tables shows the projects that will receive increases to their existing loans, and the lower section shows new loans that will be executed, based on applications already received as well as the highest ranking projects that could be funded if ready to proceed. It should be noted that this table indicates there is a remaining balance in the Planning and Expedited Loan reserves. We will leave these unallocated balances in the reserves, to give additional communities the opportunity to submit applications during the current fiscal year and obtain financing for their projects. Applications will be accepted throughout the fiscal year, and funds will be allocated as projects become ready to proceed and funds are available.

<b>2006 Allocations - No Grant</b>	<b>Facility Planning</b>	<b>Small Comm.</b>	<b>Expedited Loans</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>FP, SC and Other Total</b>	<b>Remaining Available SRF Funds</b>
Current FY Available Funds:	1,236,975	6,902,516	2,000,000	35,877,280		<b>46,016,771</b>
Uses of Available Funds:						
<b>Increases (Decreases)</b>						
City of Albany				6,902,516	6,902,516	39,114,255
Farmers Irrigation Dist.				800,000	800,000	38,314,255
City of Coburg (23042)		1,725,629		985,061	2,710,690	35,603,565
City of Coburg (23041)		(1,725,629)		(985,061)	(2,710,690)	38,314,255
City of Rockaway Beach		200,000			200,000	38,114,255
Subtotals	0	200,000	0	7,702,516	7,902,516	
Balance=	1,236,975	6,702,516	2,000,000	28,174,764	38,114,255	38,114,255
15% of Available Funds:	6,902,516					
25% of Small Comm. Reserve:	1,725,629					
FP on Priority List:	1,236,975					
<b>New Loans</b>						
Applicant: (and ranking)						
City of Portland (15)				6,902,516	6,902,516	31,211,739
Netarts-Ocnaside S.D. (74)		1,725,629		1,274,371	3,000,000	28,211,739
Metro. WW Mgt. Comm. (102)				4,000,000	4,000,000	24,211,739
City of Molalla (113)				2,670,000	2,670,000	21,541,739
City of Salem (114)				6,902,516	6,902,516	14,639,223
City of Lafayette (124)		500,000			500,000	14,139,223
City of Lebanon (125)		1,158,000		3,442,000	4,600,000	9,539,223
City of Gold Hill (126)		1,158,000			1,158,000	8,381,223
City of Lebanon (127)				783,503	783,503	7,597,720
City of Tillamook (4)		268,000			268,000	7,329,720
Westport Sewer Serv. Dist.(123)		112,250			112,250	7,217,470
City of Riddle (128)		810,000			810,000	6,407,470
Winchester Bay S.D. (12)		797,491		1,526,514	2,324,005	4,083,465
Subtotal	0	6,529,370	0	27,501,420	34,030,790	
Balance=	1,236,975	173,146	2,000,000	673,344	4,083,465	

The projects included in the above schedule are those that we believe are most likely to request loan agreements during fiscal year 2006. If additional applications are received, or other applicants already on the Priority List so request, we will execute loan agreements as long as funds are available, on a competitive basis, if necessary.

<b>2006 Allocations - With Grant</b>	<b>Facility Planning</b>	<b>Small Comm.</b>	<b>Expedited Loans</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>FP, SC and Other Total</b>	<b>Remaining Available SRF Funds</b>
Current FY Available Funds:	1,236,975	8,216,570	2,000,000	43,323,586		<b>54,777,131</b>
Uses of Available Funds:						
<b>Increases (Decreases)</b>						
City of Albany (10511)				8,216,570	8,216,570	46,560,561
Farmers Irrigation Dist. (32241)				800,000	800,000	45,760,561
City of Rockaway Beach (78281)		200,000			200,000	45,560,561
City of Coburg (23041)		(2,054,143)		(656,547)	(2,710,690)	48,271,251
City of Coburg (23042)		2,054,143		656,547	2,710,690	45,560,561
<b>Subtotals</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>200,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9,016,570</b>	<b>9,216,570</b>	
Balance=	1,236,975	8,016,570	2,000,000	34,307,016	45,560,561	45,560,561
15% of Available Funds:	8,216,570					
25% of Small Comm. Reserve:	2,054,143					
FP on Priority List:	1,236,975					
<b>New Loans</b>						
Applicant: (ranking)						
City of Coquille (1)		1,997,829		5,151,774	7,149,603	38,410,958
City of Portland (15)				7,246,000	7,246,000	31,164,958
Netarts-Ocnaside S.D. (74)		2,000,000		1,000,000	3,000,000	28,164,958
City of Salem (114)				8,216,570	8,216,570	19,948,388
City of Gold Hill (126)		1,158,000			1,158,000	18,790,388
City of Lafayette (124)		500,000			500,000	18,290,388
City of Lebanon (125)				3,442,000	3,442,000	14,848,388
City of Lebanon (127)				1,427,158	1,427,158	13,421,230
Metro. WW Mgt. Comm. (102)				4,000,000	4,000,000	9,421,230
City of Molalla (113)				2,670,000	2,670,000	6,751,230
City of Tillamook (4)		268,000			268,000	6,483,230
Westport Sewer Serv. Dist.(123)		112,250			112,250	6,370,980
City of Riddle (128)		810,000			810,000	5,560,980
Winchester Bay S.D. (12)		1,170,491		1,153,514	2,324,005	3,236,975
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8,016,570</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>34,307,016</b>	<b>42,323,586</b>	
Balance=	1,236,975	0	2,000,000	0	3,236,975	

Any additional funds that become available to the Program during the remainder of 2006 will be allocated as applications are received throughout the fiscal year.

### Timely Use of Funds

It is the intent of the CWSRF loan program that its funds be used in a timely and expeditious manner. EPA's requirement is that funds be committed within one year of the time they become available, with some exceptions. The following table documents the Oregon CWSRF loan program compliance with that requirement. This calculation is accurate as of October 21, 2005:

**BINDING COMMITMENTS AND FUNDS AVAILABLE**

EPA requires that the program execute binding commitments at least equal to the amount of funds available in the program, within one year of that fund availability. This schedule calculates the amount of funds available as of June 30, 2005, and compares that amount to the binding commitments executed as of October 21, 2005.

**FUNDS AVAILABLE AS OF JUNE 30, 2005:**

FUNDS AVAILABLE AS OF JUNE 30, 2005:					(1)	(2)	
Total Federal Cap Grants Awarded	Total State Match Contributed	Total Principal Repayments	Total Interest Repayments	Total Investment Interest	Total Early Principal Repayments FY 02 - 05	Total Transfers From Fees Fund FY 02 - 05	TOTAL FUNDS AVAILABLE
263,489,612	50,263,335	121,132,159	57,779,301	21,164,698	11,480,117	3,000,000	502,348,988

**TOTAL BINDING COMMITMENTS AS OF JULY 1, 2006:** 546,151,144

**BINDING COMMITMENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE FROM 2005:** 108.7%

- (1) We have 3 years to commit early principal payments  
(2) We have 3 years to commit the proceeds of this transfer

**PROGRAM INCOME**

Program income is income earned as a direct result of receiving federal capitalization grants. In the CWSRF loan program this consists of income from fees charged on loans made with grant money. During fiscal year 2006 we anticipate collecting approximately \$75,000 program income earned during the grant periods, and approximately \$160,000 program income earned after the grant periods.

**ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW**

Environmental Review requirements have been modified in recent years except as required by DEQ and other agencies outside of the CWSRF program. Oregon believes the EPA Title II equivalency requirements have been met, so the National Environmental Policy Act no longer applies to new projects, however Oregon has not been officially released from these requirements by EPA as of June 30, 2004. A proposed State Environmental Review Process was submitted to EPA and approved in November 1999. This State Environmental Review Process is included as part of the Oregon CWSRF Program Procedures Manual, which is available upon request.

**OPERATING AGREEMENT**

The Operating Agreement between the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the State of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality for the State Revolving Fund Program includes the procedures, assurances, certifications, Title II requirements, applicable federal authorities and laws, and other on-going documentation for the program. The Operating Agreement is discussed here to

incorporate the required assurances, certifications and other documentation by reference. Copies of the Operating Agreement are available upon request.

### **PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES**

Invitations to submit applications were sent to all Oregon jurisdictions, sanitary districts, and other interested parties in January 2005. The following public notice inviting comments was printed in the Daily Journal of Commerce and the Business Journal October 14, 2005:



**PUBLIC NOTICE****Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Proposed Updated Intended Use Plan  
State Fiscal Year 2006**

Notice Issued: October 21, 2005  
Comments Due: November 21, 2005

**WHAT IS PROPOSED**

The Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) has prepared an updated *FY 2006 Intended Use Plan* for the CLEAN WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND in accordance with procedures set out in Division 54 of Chapter 340 of the Oregon Administrative Rules.

This plan is part of an application to receive federal grant funds made available for the creation of state revolving funds under authority of the Clean Water Act. These funds are to be used to provide low cost loans to public agencies for water pollution abatement projects, including eligible wastewater treatment, nonpoint source, and estuary management projects.

**DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED UPDATED INTENDED USE PLAN**

If approved, the Intended Use Plan will fund up to \$55,277,698 in loans for planning, design and construction of projects needed to correct priority water quality problems in Oregon. The Updated Intended Use Plan includes a List of Eligible Projects in Priority Order that have applied for funding. Funding will be allocated in priority order as applicants complete the application process. Funding limitations under Oregon Administrative Rule 340-54-025 may apply.

**TO RECEIVE A COPY OF THE UPDATED INTENDED USE PLAN**

A copy of the Updated Intended Use Plan is available from the Department of Environmental Quality by contacting Larry McAllister at 503-229-6412 or Rick Watters at 503-229-6814. The I.U.P. document can also be found at DEQ's website: <http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/wqgrant/wqgrant.htm>, "2006 CWSRF Intended Use Plan" (under "Program Documents"). Comments on the I.U.P. must be submitted in writing to the Department by Monday, November 21, 2005. Send comments to Larry McAllister, DEQ CWSRF Loan Program, 811 S.W. 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Portland, OR 97204. Comments may also be submitted via e-mail to Larry at [mcallister.larry@deq.state.or.us](mailto:mcallister.larry@deq.state.or.us).

**MAILING LISTS**

In addition to all agencies submitting new applications, a number of interested organizations and individuals, listed below, were sent a letter describing the I.U.P. process and telling them how to access the draft document.

Committee Administrator  
Senate Agriculture and Natural Resources  
Committee  
354 State Capitol  
Salem, Oregon 97310

O.S.P.I.R.G.  
1536 S.E. 11th Avenue  
Portland, OR 97214

Association of Oregon Counties  
P.O. Box 12729  
Salem, OR 97309

N.W. Environmental Defense Center  
10015 S.W. Terwilliger Blvd.  
Portland, OR 97219

Oregon Economic Development  
Department  
775 Summer St. N.E.  
Salem, OR 97310

Northwest Environmental Advocates  
2866 NE Dunckley  
Portland, OR 97212

Oregon Environmental Council  
520 S.W. Sixth Ave., Suite 940  
Portland, OR 97204

Oregon Insider Newsletter  
P.O. Box 11586  
Eugene, OR 97440

Oregon League of Women Voters  
2659 Commercial St. S.E., Suite 220  
Salem, OR 97302

Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board  
255 Capitol St. N.E., Third Floor  
Salem, OR 97310-0203

Oregon Rural Development Council  
Community Services Center  
1209 University of Oregon  
Eugene, OR.  
97403

Association of Clean Water Agencies  
537 S.W. Ash St., Suite 12  
Portland, OR 97214-1159

Program Director  
USDA Rural Utilities Services  
101 S.W. Main, Suite 1410  
Portland, OR 97204-3222

League of Oregon Cities  
P.O. Box 928  
Salem, OR 97038

Michelle Tucker  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
1200 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
Seattle, WA 98101